

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ph

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,823	01/26/2004	Thomas Farkas	1465.2017-001	6909	
21005	7590 09/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
HAMILTON	N, BROOK, SMITH &	RILEY, SHAWN			
530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			2838	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/764,823	FARKAS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shawn Riley	2838		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>june 04</u> is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	cepted or b) \square objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413\		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,823 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because figure(s) 1-4 fail(s) to have the label prior art. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 3718 of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 9-12, 14, 21-24, 26, 33-37, 39-43 and 45-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being fully anticipated by Pulvirenti et al. (U.S. Patent 6,791,212). Pulvirenti et al. shows, ¹ (in, e.g., the(ir) figures and corresponding disclosure)

¹ Note claims will be addressed individually and the material in parentheses are the examiner's annotated comments. Further unless needed for clarity reasons, recited limitation(s), will be annotated only upon their first occurrence. Annotated claims begin with the phrase "As to claim". Claims that are not annotated are seen as having already had the invention(s) addressed previously in an annotated claim. Bolded words/phrases indicate rejected material based 112 paragraph rejections. Underlined words/phrases indicate objected to material.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,823

Art Unit: 2838

As to claim 1;

A charge pump circuit comprising: charge pumping capacitance (see, e.g., figure

Page 3

4 Cp and Cs); switches (27) that vary voltage across the pumping capacitance to

provide a pumped output voltage from an input voltage; variable resistance (see,

e.g., figure 2 and element 18); and control (5) that varies the variable resistance

with varied operating point (see, e.g., column 3 lines 24-30).

As to claim 2;

A charge pump as claimed in claim 1 wherein the variable resistance is coupled

in series with the pumping capacitance and input voltage (see, e.g., figure 2 and

anyone of applicants drawing figures for an equivalent to applicant's claimed

variable resistant in series with the pumping capacitance and input voltage).

As to claim 9;

A charge pump as claimed in claim 1 wherein the control comprises a comparator

(25.1-25N).

As to claim 10;

A charge pump as claimed in claim 1 wherein the control comprises an amplifier

(14).

As to claim 11;

A charge pump as claimed in claim 1 wherein the control comprises a shunt

reference (Vc) device.

12. A controller comprising: charge pumping capacitance; switches that vary

Page 4

voltage across the pumping capacitance to provide a pumped output voltage from an input voltage; variable resistance; and control that varies the variable resistance with varied operating point.

- 14. A controller as claimed in claim 12 wherein the variable resistance is coupled in series with the pumping capacitance and input voltage.
- 21. A controller as claimed in claim 12 wherein the control comprises a comparator.
- 22. A controller as claimed in claim 12 wherein the control comprises an amplifier.
- 23. A controller as claimed in claim 12 wherein the control comprises a shunt reference device.
- 24. A DC/DC converter comprising: controlled switches; and a controller that controls the controlled switches, the controller comprising: charge pumping capacitance; switches that vary voltage across the pumping capacitance to provide a pumped output voltage to the controller from an input voltage; variable resistance; and control that varies the variable resistance with varied operating point.
- 26. A DC/DC converter as claimed in claim 24 wherein the variable resistance is coupled in series with the pumping capacitance and input voltage.
- 33. A DC/DC converter as claimed in claim 24 wherein the control comprises a comparator.
- 34. A DC/DC converter as claimed in claim 24 wherein the control comprises an amplifier.
- 35. A DC/DC converter as claimed in claim 24 wherein the control comprises an shunt reference device.

Note: For method claims (claims 36-50), note that under MPEP 2112.02, the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. In re King, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Therefore the previous rejections based on the apparatus will not be repeated.

36. A method of charge pumping comprising: varying voltage across a pumping capacitor to provide a pumped output voltage from an input voltage; and varying

Art Unit: 2838

variable resistance in circuit with the pumping capacitance with varied operating point. 37. A method as claimed in 36 wherein the variable resistance is coupled in series with the pumping capacitance input 39. A method as claimed in 36 wherein the variable resistance is varied in response comparator. 40. A method as claimed in 36 wherein the variable resistance is varied in amplifier. response 41. A method as claimed in 36 wherein the variable resistance is varied in shunt reference device. response to 42. A method of converting DC voltage to DC voltage comprising: varying voltage across a pumping capacitor to provide a pumped output voltage from an input voltage; varying variable resistance in circuit with the pumping capacitance with varied operating point; applying the output voltage to a controller; and controlling converter switches from the controller. 43. A method as claimed in 42 wherein the variable resistance is coupled in series capacitance and input pumping 45. A method as claimed in 42 wherein the variable resistance is varied in comparator. response 46. A method as claimed in 42 wherein the variable resistance is varied in response amplifier. 47. A method as claimed in 42 wherein the variable resistance is varied in reference response shunt 48. A charge pump comprising: means for varying voltage across a pumping capacitor to provide a pumped output voltage from an input voltage; and means for varying variable resistance in circuit with the pumping capacitance with varied operating 49. A controller comprising: means for varying voltage across a pumping capacitor to provide a pumped output voltage from an input voltage; and means for varying variable resistance in circuit with the pumping capacitance with varied operating 50. A DC/DC converter comprising: means for varying voltage across a pumping capacitor to provide a pumped output voltage from an input voltage; means for varying variable resistance in circuit with the pumping capacitance with varied input voltage; means for applying the output voltage to a controller; and means for controlling converter switches from the control.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,823 Page 6

Art Unit: 2838

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

4. Claims 3, 5-7, 15, and 17-19, 27, 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Pulvirenti et al. (U.S. Patent 6,791,212) in view of Wada (U.S. Patent 6,403,943). The Pulvirenti et al. reference discloses the limitations of the invention as claimed as described above. However, Pulvirenti et al. does not show a switch in parallel with a resistance as an equivalent to a variable resistor. Wada et al shows (see Figure 1) a switch in parallel with a resistance as an equivalent to a variable resistor. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to utilize a switch in parallel with a resistance as an equivalent to a variable resistor of Wada et al into the circuit of Pulvirenti et al. for the reason of well know electrical equivalents to a single variable resistor.

As to claim 5;

A charge pump as claimed in claim 3 wherein the control comprises a comparator (25.1-25.N).

As to claim 6;

A charge pump as claimed in claim 3 wherein the control comprises an amplifier (14).

As to claim 7;

Page 7

(Vc).

Claims 4, 8, 16, 20, 28, 32 and method claim 38, 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being

unpatentable over Pulvirenti et al. (U.S. Patent 6,791,212) in view of Wada (U.S. Patent

6,403,943). The Pulvirenti et al. and the Wada et al. reference discloses the limitations of the

invention as claimed as described above. However, the Wada et al. do not show the switch in

parallel with a resistance as an FET. Official notice is taken that switches are interchangeably,

e.g., bi-polar or FET, depending on the input control signal to the switch which is a designed to

utilize, e.g., a current input control signal for a bi-polar and a voltage input control signal for a

FET.

5. Claims 13, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Pulvirenti et

al. (U.S. Patent 6,791,212). The Pulvirenti et al. reference discloses the limitations of the

invention as claimed as described above. However, Pulvirenti et al. do not show a charge pump

internal to the controller integrated circuit as well as an external charge pump but Pulvirenti et al.

do show multiple charge pumps. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to make integral the control and charge pump for the

controller, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been

formed in two pieces and put together involves only routing skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit

Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

Art Unit: 2838

6. No claims are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry from other than the applicant/attorney of record concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to the Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 1.866.217.9197. Any inquiry from a member of the press concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner or the application should be directed to the Office of Public Affairs at 703.305.8341. Any inquiry from the applicant or an attorney of record concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Riley whose telephone number is 571.272.2083. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30-6:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. The Examiner's Supervisor is Mike Sherry who can be reached at 571.272.2084. Any inquiry about a case's location, retrieval of a case, or receipt of an amendment into a case or information regarding sent correspondence to a case should be directed to 2800's Customer Service Center at 571.272.2815. Any papers to be sent by fax MUST BE sent to fax number 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571.272.2800. Status information of cases may be found at http://pair-direct.uspto.gov wherein unpublished application information is found through private PAIR and published application information is Further help on using the PAIR system is available at found through public PAIR. 1.866.217.9197 (Electronic Business Center).

September 05

Shawn Riley

Primary Examiner